



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

WILLIAM ANTHONY JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,

vs.

MARVA HARDEE THOMAS, and COUNTY
OF DORCHESTER,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:06-0244-HFF-JRM

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DISMISSING THE ACTION *WITHOUT PREJUDICE* AND
WITHOUT ISSUANCE AND SERVICE OF PROCESS

Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*, filed this case as a civil rights action. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) from the Magistrate Judge suggesting that the Court dismiss the matter *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Matthews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on February 1, 2006. Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. In the absence of objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that this action must be **DISMISSED** *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 23rd day of February, 2006, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.